# Counting the number of dominating sets of cactus chains 
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Let $G$ be a simple graph of order $n$. The domination polynomial of $G$ is the polynomial $D(G, x)=\sum_{i=\gamma(G)}^{n} d(G, i) x^{i}$, where $d(G, i)$ is the number of dominating sets of $G$ of size $i$ and $\gamma(G)$ is the domination number of $G$. The number of dominating sets of a graph $G$ is $D(G, 1)$. In this paper we consider cactus chains with triangular and square blocks and study their domination polynomials.
(Received June 26, 2014; accepted September 11, 2014)
Keywords: Domination polynomial, Dominating sets, Cactus

## 1. Introduction

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a simple graph. For any vertex $v \in V(G)$, the open neighborhood of $v$ is the set $N(v)=\{u \in V(G) \mid\{u, v\} \in E(G)\} \quad$ and the closed neighborhood of $v$ is the set $N[v]=N(v) \cup\{v\}$. For a set $S \subseteq V(G)$, the open neighborhood of $S$ is $N(S)=\bigcup_{v \in S} N(v)$ and the closed neighborhood of $S$ is $N[S]=N(S) \cup S$. A set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a dominating set if $N[S]=V$ or equivalently, every vertex in $V(G) \backslash S$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in $S$. The domination number $\gamma(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set in $G$. For a detailed treatment of these parameters, the reader is referred to [10]. Let $D(G, i)$ be the family of dominating sets of a graph $G$ with cardinality $i$ and let $d(G, i)=|D(G, i)|$. The domination polynomial $D(G, x)$ of $G$ is defined as $D(G, x)=\sum_{i=\gamma(G)}^{|V(G)|} d(G, i) x^{i}$, where $\gamma(G)$ is the domination number of $G$ (see [2, 5]). Obviously, the number of dominating sets of a graph $G$ is $D(G, 1)$ (see $[4,13])$. Recently the number of the dominating sets of graph $G$, i.e., $D(G, 1)$ has been considered and studied in [18] with a different approach.

Domination theory have many applications in sciences and technology (see [10]). Recently the dominating set has found application in the assignment of structural domains in complex protein structures, which is an important task in bio-informatics ([8]).

We recall that the Hosoya index $Z(G)$ of a molecule graph $G$, is the number of matching sets, and the Merrifield-Simmons index $i(G)$ of graph $G$, is the number of independent sets. The Hosoya index of a graph
has application to correlations with boiling points, entropies, calculated bond orders, as well as for coding of chemical structures. The Merrifield-Simmons index is one of the most popular topological indices in chemistry. For more information of these two indices see $[1,15,16,19]$. Note that $Z(G)$ and $i(G)$ can be study by the value of matching polynomial and independence polynomial at 1 .

In this paper we consider a class of simple linear polymers called cactus chains. Cactus graphs were first known as Husimi trees; they appeared in the scientific literature some sixty years ago in papers by Husimi and Riddell concerned with cluster integrals in the theory of condensation in statistical mechanics [9,11,17]. We refer the reader to papers [7,14] for some aspects of domination in cactus graphs.

A cactus graph is a connected graph in which no edge lies in more than one cycle. Consequently, each block of a cactus graph is either an edge or a cycle. If all blocks of a cactus $G$ are cycles of the same size $i$, the cactus is $i$ uniform. A triangular cactus is a graph whose blocks are triangles, i.e., a 3 -uniform cactus. A vertex shared by two or more triangles is called a cut-vertex. If each triangle of a triangular cactus $G$ has at most two cut-vertices, and each cut-vertex is shared by exactly two triangles, we say that $G$ is a chain triangular cactus. By replacing triangles in this definitions by cycles of length 4 we obtain cacti whose every block is $C_{4}$. We call such cacti square cacti. Note that the internal squares may differ in the way they connect to their neighbors. If their cut-vertices are adjacent, we say that such a square is an ortho-square; if the cut-vertices are not adjacent, we call the square a parasquare.

In Section 2 we study the domination polynomial of the chain triangular cactus with two approach. In Section 3 we study the domination polynomials of chains of squares.

## 2. Domination polynomials of the chain triangular cactus

We call the number of triangles in $G$, the length of the chain. An example of a chain triangular cactus is shown in Fig. 1. Obviously, all chain triangular cacti of the same length are isomorphic. Hence, we denote the chain triangular cactus of length $n$ by $T_{n}$. In this paper we investigate the domination polynomial of $T_{n}$ by two different approach.


Fig. 1. The chain triangular cactus.

### 2.1 Computation of $D\left(T_{n}, x\right)$ using recurrence relation

In the first subsection, we use results and recurrence relations of the domination polynomial of a graph to find a recurrence relation for $D\left(T_{n}, x\right)$.

We need the following theorem:
Theorem 1. [5] If a graph $G$ consists of $k$ components $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k}$, then $D(G, x)=\prod_{i=1}^{k} D\left(G_{i}, x\right)$.

The vertex contraction $G / u$ of a graph $G$ by a vertex $u$ is the operation under which all vertices in $N(u)$ are joined to each other and then $u$ is deleted (see[20]).

The following theorem is useful for finding the recurrence relations for the domination polynomials of arbitrary graphs.

Theorem 2. [3,12] Let $G$ be a graph. For any vertex $u$ in $G$ we have
$D(G, x)=x D(G / u, x)+D(G-u, x)+x D(G-N[u], x)-$ $(1+x) p_{u}(G, x)$,
where $p_{u}(G, x)$ is the polynomial counting the dominating sets of $G-u$ which do not contain any vertex of $N(u)$ in $G$.

Domination polynomial satisfies a recurrence relation for arbitrary graphs which is based on the edge and vertex elimination operations. The recurrence uses composite operations, e.g. $G-e / u$, which stands for $(G-e) / u$.

Theorem 3. [12] Let $G$ be a graph. For every edge $e=\{u, v\} \in E$,
$D(G, x)=D(G-e, x)+\frac{x}{x-1}[D(G-e / u, x)+D(G-e / v, x)$
$-D(G / u, x)-D(G / v, x)-D(G-N[u], x)-D(G-N[v], x)$

We use for graphs $G=(V, E)$ the following vertex operation, which is commonly found in the literature. Let $v \in V$ be a vertex of $G$. A vertex appending $G+e$ (or $G+\{v\}$,$) denotes the graph \left(V \cup\left\{v^{\prime}\right\}, E \cup\left\{v, \nu^{\prime}\right\}\right)$ obtained from $G$ by adding a new vertex $v^{\prime}$ and an edge $\left\{v, \nu^{\prime}\right\}$ to $G$.

The following theorem gives recurrence relation for the domination polynomial of $T_{n}$.

Theorem 4. For every $n \geq 3$,
$D\left(T_{n}, x\right)=\left(x^{2}+2 x\right) D\left(T_{n-1}, x\right)+\left(x^{2}+x\right) D\left(T_{n-2}, x\right)$,
with initial condition $D\left(T_{1}, x\right)=x^{3}+3 x^{2}+3 x$ and $D\left(T_{2}, x\right)=x^{5}+5 x^{4}+10 x^{3}+8 x^{2}+x$.

Proof. Consider the graph $T_{n}$ as shown in the following Fig. 1. Since $T_{n} / u$ is isomorphic to $T_{n}-u$ and $p_{u}\left(T_{n}, x\right)=0$, by Theorem 2 we have:
$D\left(T_{n}, x\right)=x D\left(T_{n} / u, x\right)+D\left(T_{n}-u, x\right)+x D\left(T_{n}-N[u], x\right)$
$-(1+x) p_{u}\left(T_{n}, x\right)$
$=(x+1) D\left(T_{n-1}+e, x\right)+x D\left(T_{n-2}+e, x\right)$.


Fig. 2. The Graph $T_{n-1}+e$.

Note we use Theorems 1 and 2 to obtain the domination polynomial of the graph $T_{n-1}+e$ (see Fig. 2). Suppose that $v^{\prime}$ be a vertex of degree 1 in graph $T_{n-1}+e$ and let $u$ be its neighbor. Note that in this case $p_{u}\left(T_{n-1}+e, x\right)=0$. We deduce that for each $n \in \mathrm{~N}$, $D\left(T_{n-1}+e, x\right)=$
$x\left[D\left(T_{n-1}, x\right)+D\left(T_{n-2}+e, x\right)+D\left(T_{n-3}+e, x\right)\right]$. Therefore
by equation (1) and this equality we have
$D\left(T_{n}, x\right)=\left(x^{2}+x\right)\left(D\left(T_{n-1}, x\right)+D\left(T_{n-3}+e, x\right)\right)+$ $\left(x^{2}+2 x\right) D\left(T_{n-2}+e, x\right)$.
Now it's suffices to prove the following equality:
$\left(x^{2}+x\right) D\left(T_{n-3}+e, x\right)+\left(x^{2}+2 x\right) D\left(T_{n-2}+e, x\right)=$
$x D\left(T_{n-1}, x\right)+\left(x^{2}+x\right) D\left(T_{n-2}, x\right)$.

For this purpose we use Theorem 2 for $D\left(T_{n-1}, x\right)$. We have

$$
x D\left(T_{n-1}, x\right)=\left(x^{2}+x\right) D\left(T_{n-2}+e, x\right)+x^{2} D\left(T_{n-3}+e, x\right) .
$$

Now we use Theorem 2 for $v^{\prime}$ to obtain domination polynomial of $T_{n-2}+e$, then we have $D\left(T_{n-2}+e, x\right)=(1+x) D\left(T_{n-2}, x\right)+x D\left(T_{n-3}+e, x\right)-$ $(1+x) D\left(T_{n-3}+e, x\right)$.
Therefore the result follows.

### 2.2 Computation of $D\left(T_{n}, x\right)$ by counting the number of dominating sets

In this section we shall obtain a recurrence relation for the domination polynomial of $T_{n}$. For this purpose we count the number of dominating sets of $T_{n}$ with cardinality $k$. In other words, we first find a two variables recursive formula for $d\left(T_{n}, k\right)$.

Recently by private communication, we found that the following result also appear in [6] but were proved independently.

Theorem 5. The number of dominating sets of $T_{n}$ with cardinality $k$ is given by
$d\left(T_{n}, k\right)=2 d\left(T_{n-1}, k-1\right)+d\left(T_{n-1}, k-2\right)+d\left(T_{n-2}, k-1\right)$ $+d\left(T_{n-2}, k-2\right)$.

Proof. We shall make a dominating set of $T_{n}$ with cardinality $k$ which we denote it by $\mathrm{T}_{n}^{k}$. We consider all cases:

Case 1. If $\mathrm{T}_{n}^{k}$ contains both of $v$ and $w$, then we have $\mathrm{T}_{n}^{k}=\mathrm{T}_{n-1}^{k-2} \cup\{v, w\}$. In this case we have $d\left(T_{n}, k\right)=d\left(T_{n-1}, k-2\right)$.

Case 2. If $\mathrm{T}_{n}^{k}$ contains only $v$ or $w$ (say $v$ ), then we have $\quad \mathrm{T}_{n}^{k}=\mathrm{T}_{n-1}^{k-1} \cup\{v\}$. In this case we have $d\left(T_{n}, k\right)=2 d\left(T_{n-1}, k-1\right)$.

Case 3. If $\mathrm{T}_{n}^{k}$ contains none of $v$ and $w$, then we can construct $\mathrm{T}_{n}^{k}$ by $\mathrm{T}_{n-2}^{k-1}$ or $\mathrm{T}_{n-2}^{k-2}$ as shown in Fig. 3. In this case we have $d\left(T_{n}, k\right)=d\left(T_{n-2}, k-1\right)+d\left(T_{n-2}, k-2\right)$. By adding all contributions we obtain the recurrence for $d\left(T_{n}, k\right)$.


Fig. 3. Recurrence relation for $d\left(T_{n}, k\right)$.

Corollary 1. For every $n \geq 3$,

$$
D\left(T_{n}, x\right)=\left(x^{2}+2 x\right) D\left(T_{n-1}, x\right)+\left(x^{2}+x\right) D\left(T_{n-2}, x\right) .
$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 5 and the definition of the domination polynomial.

We mention here the Hosoya index of a graph $G$ is the total number of matchings of $G$ and the MerrifieldSimmons index is the total number of its independent sets. Motivation by these indices, we are interested to count the total number of dominating set of a graph which is equal to $D(G, 1)$. Here we present a recurrence relation to the total number of the chain triangular cactus.

Theorem 6. The enumerating sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ for the number of dominating sets in $T_{n}(n \geq 2)$ is
$t_{n}=3 t_{n-1}+2 t_{n-2}$
with initial values $t_{0}=2, t_{1}=7$.
Proof. Since $t_{n}=D\left(T_{n}, 1\right)$, it follows from Corollary 1.

## 3. Counting the number of dominating sets of chains of squares

By replacing triangles in the definitions of triangular cactus, by cycles of length 4 we obtain cacti whose every block is $C_{4}$. We call such cacti, square cacti. An example of a square cactus chain is shown in Fig. 4. We see that the internal squares may differ in the way they connect to their neighbors. If their cut-vertices are adjacent, we say that such a square is an ortho-square; if the cut-vertices are not adjacent, we call the square a para-square.

### 3.1 Domination polynomial of para-chain square cactus graphs

In this subsection we consider a para-chain of length $n, Q_{n}$, as shown in Fig. 4. We shall obtain a recurrence relation for the domination polynomial of $Q_{n}$. As usual we denote the number of dominating sets of $Q_{n}$ by $d\left(Q_{n}, k\right)$. The following theorem gives a recurrence relation for $D\left(Q_{n}, x\right)$.


Fig. 4. Para-chain square cactus graphs.

We need the following Lemma for finding domination polynomial of the $Q_{n}$.


Fig. 5. Graphs $Q_{n}^{\Delta}, Q_{n^{\prime}}$ and $Q_{n}(2)$, respectively


Fig. 6. Graphs $\left(Q_{n}+e\right) / w$ and $Q_{n}+e$, respectively.

Lemma 1. For graphs in figures 5 and 6 have:
(i) $D\left(Q_{n}^{\Delta}, x\right)=(1+x) D\left(Q_{n}+e, x\right)+x D\left(Q_{n-1}{ }^{\prime}, x\right)$, where $D\left(Q_{0}^{\Delta}, x\right)=x^{3}+3 x^{2}+3 x$.
(ii) $D\left(Q_{n}(2), x\right)=x\left(D\left(Q_{n}+e, x\right)+D\left(Q_{n}, x\right)+D\left(Q_{n-1}{ }^{\prime}, x\right)\right)$ , where $D\left(Q_{0}(2), x\right)=x^{3}+3 x^{2}+x$.
(iii) $D\left(Q_{n^{\prime}}, x\right)=(1+x) D\left(Q_{n}+e, x\right)-x D\left(Q_{n-1}{ }^{\prime}, x\right)$, where $D\left(Q_{0^{\prime}}, x\right)=x^{3}+3 x^{2}+x$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (iv) } D\left(Q_{n}+e, x\right)=x\left(D\left(Q_{n}, x\right)+D\left(Q_{n-1}, x\right)\right)+ \\
& x D\left(Q_{n-1}{ }^{\prime}, x\right)+2 x^{2} D\left(Q_{n-2}{ }^{\prime}, x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D\left(Q_{1}+e, x\right)=x^{5}+5 x^{4}+9 x^{3}+4 x^{2}$.

Proof. The proof of parts (i) and (ii) follow from Theorems 1 and 2 for vertex $u$ in graphs $Q_{n}^{\Delta}$ and $Q_{n}(2)$, respectively. Note that in these cases $p_{u}(G, x)=0$.
(iii) We use Theorems 1 and 2 for vertex $u$ to obtain domination polynomial of $Q_{n^{\prime}}$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D\left(Q_{n^{\prime}}, x\right)=(1+x) D\left(Q_{n}+e, x\right)+x^{2} D\left(Q_{n-1}^{\prime}, x\right)- \\
& (1+x) x D\left(Q_{n-1}^{\prime}, x\right) \\
& =(1+x) D\left(Q_{n}+e, x\right)-x^{2} D\left(Q_{n-1}^{\prime}, x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iv) We use Theorems 1 and 2 for vertex $w$ to obtain domination polynomial of $Q_{n}+e$, as shown in Fig. 6 then we have

$$
D\left(Q_{n}+e, x\right)=
$$

$$
x D\left(\left(Q_{n}+e\right) / w, x\right)+x D\left(Q_{n-1}{ }^{\prime}, x\right)+x D\left(Q_{n-1}, x\right) .
$$

Now consider the graph $\left(Q_{n}+e\right) / w$ as shown in Fig. 6. We use Theorems 1 and 3 for $e=\{u, v\}$ to obtain $D\left(\left(Q_{n}+e\right) / w, x\right)$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D\left(\left(Q_{n}+e\right) / w, x\right)=D\left(Q_{n}, x\right)+ \\
& \frac{x}{x-1}\left[D\left(Q_{n-1}^{\Delta}, x\right)+D\left(Q_{n-1}^{\Delta}, x\right)-\left(Q_{n-1}^{\Delta}, x\right)\right. \\
& -D\left(Q_{n-1}^{\Delta}, x\right)-D\left(Q_{n-2}^{\prime}, x\right)-D\left(Q_{n-2}{ }^{\prime}, x\right)+ \\
& \left.x D\left(Q_{n-2}{ }^{\prime}, x\right)+x D\left(Q_{n-2^{\prime}}, x\right)\right] \\
& \quad=D\left(Q_{n}, x\right)+2 x D\left(Q_{n-2}{ }^{\prime}, x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the result follows.
Theorem 7. The domination polynomial of parachain $Q_{n}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad D\left(Q_{n}, x\right)= \\
& \left(x^{3}+2 x^{2}+x\right) D\left(Q_{n-1}, x\right)+\left(x^{3}+2 x^{2}\right) D\left(Q_{n-2}, x\right) \\
& +\left(x^{3}+3 x^{2}\right) D\left(Q_{n-2}{ }^{\prime}, x\right)+\left(2 x^{4}+4 x^{3}\right) D\left(Q_{n-3}{ }^{\prime}, x\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

with initial conditions $D\left(Q_{1}, x\right)=x^{4}+4 x^{3}+6 x^{2}$ and $D\left(Q_{2}, x\right)=x^{7}+7 x^{6}+21 x^{5}+29 x^{4}+15 x^{3}$.

Proof. Consider the labeled $Q_{n}$ as shown in Figure 4. We use Theorems 1 and 2 for vertex $u_{n}$ to obtain the domination polynomial of $Q_{n}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& D\left(Q_{n}, x\right)=x D\left(Q_{n-1}^{\Delta}, x\right)+D\left(Q_{n-1}(2), x\right)+x^{2} D\left(Q_{n-2}^{\prime}, x\right) \\
& -(1+x) x D\left(Q_{n-2}^{\prime}, x\right) \\
& \quad=x D\left(Q_{n-1}^{\Delta}, x\right)+D\left(Q_{n-1}(2), x\right)-x D\left(Q_{n-2}^{\prime}, x\right) . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore by parts (i), (ii) and (iv) of Lemma 1 and equation (2) we have
$D\left(Q_{n}, x\right)=x\left((1+x) D\left(Q_{n-1}+e, x\right)+x D\left(Q_{n-2}{ }^{\prime}, x\right)\right)+$ $x\left(D\left(Q_{n-1}+e, x\right)\right.$
$\left.+D\left(Q_{n-1}, x\right)+D\left(Q_{n-2}{ }^{\prime}, x\right)\right)-x D\left(Q_{n-2}{ }^{\prime}, x\right)$
$=\left(x^{2}+2 x\right) D\left(Q_{n-1}+e, x\right)+x^{2} D\left(Q_{n-2}^{\prime}, x\right)+x D\left(Q_{n-1}, x\right)$
$=\left(x^{2}+2 x\right)\left[x\left(D\left(Q_{n-1}, x\right)+D\left(Q_{n-2}, x\right)\right)+x D\left(Q_{n-2}^{\prime}, x\right)\right.$
$\left.+2 x^{2} D\left(Q_{n-3}{ }^{\prime}, x\right)\right]+x^{2} D\left(Q_{n-2}{ }^{\prime}, x\right)+x D\left(Q_{n-1}, x\right)$
$=\left(x^{3}+2 x^{2}+x\right) D\left(Q_{n-1}, x\right)+\left(x^{3}+2 x^{2}\right) D\left(Q_{n-2}, x\right)$
$+\left(x^{3}+3 x^{2}\right) D\left(Q_{n-2}{ }^{\prime}, x\right)+\left(2 x^{4}+4 x^{3}\right) D\left(Q_{n-3}{ }^{\prime}, x\right)$.

### 3.2 Domination polynomial of ortho-chain square cactus graphs

In this subsection we consider a ortho-chain of length $n, O_{n}$, as shown in Fig. 7. We shall obtain a recurrence relation for the domination polynomial of $O_{n}$.


Fig. 7. Labeled ortho-chain square $O_{n}$.

We need the following Lemma for finding domination polynomial of the $O_{n}$.


Fig. 8. Graphs $O_{n}^{\Delta}, O_{n}(2), O_{n^{\prime}}$ and $O_{n}+e$, respectively.

Lemma 2. For graphs in figure 8 we have:
(i) $D\left(O_{n}^{\Delta}, x\right)=(1+x) D\left(O_{n}+e, x\right)+x D\left(O_{n-1}(2), x\right)$, where $D\left(O_{0}^{\Delta}, x\right)=x^{3}+3 x^{2}+3 x$.
(ii) $D\left(O_{n}(2), x\right)=x\left(D\left(O_{n}+e, x\right)+D\left(O_{n}, x\right)+D\left(O_{n-1}(2), x\right)\right)$ where $D\left(O_{0}(2), x\right)=x^{3}+3 x^{2}+x$.
(iii) $D\left(O_{n^{\prime}}, x\right)=(1+x) D\left(O_{n}^{\Delta}, x\right)-x D\left(O_{n-1}(2), x\right)$, where
$D\left(O_{0^{\prime}}, x\right)=x^{4}+4 x^{3}+6 x^{2}+2 x$.
(iv) $D\left(O_{n}+e, x\right)=x D\left(O_{n^{\prime}}, x\right)+x D\left(O_{n-1}(2), x\right)+$
$x^{2} D\left(O_{n-2}(2), x\right)$
$D\left(O_{1}+e, x\right)=x^{5}+5 x^{4}+9 x^{3}+4 x^{2}$.

Proof. The proof of parts (i), (ii) and (iv) follow from Theorems 1 and 2 for vertex $u$ in graphs $O_{n}^{\Delta}, O_{n}(2)$ and $O_{n}+e$, respectively. Note that in these cases $p_{u}(G, x)=0$.
(iii) We use Theorems 1 and 2 for $u$ in graphs $O_{n^{\prime}}$. Since $O_{n^{\prime}} / u$ is isomorphic to $O_{n^{\prime}}-u$ and $p_{u}(G, x)=x D\left(O_{n-1}(2), x\right)$. So we have the result.

Theorem 8 . The domination polynomial of parachain $O_{n}$ is given by
$D\left(O_{n}, x\right)=x D\left(O_{n-1}, x\right)+\left(x^{2}+2 x\right) D\left(O_{n-1}+e, x\right)+$ $x^{2} D\left(O_{n-2}(2), x\right)$,
with initial condition $D\left(O_{1}, x\right)=x^{4}+4 x^{3}+6 x^{2}$.
Proof. Consider the labeled $O_{n}$ as shown in Figure 7. We use Theorems 1 and 2 for vertex $u_{n}$ to obtain domination polynomial of $O_{n}$, then we have
$D\left(O_{n}, x\right)=x D\left(O_{n-1}^{\Delta}, x\right)+D\left(O_{n-1}(2), x\right)+$
$x^{2} D\left(O_{n-2}(2), x\right)-(1+x) x D\left(O_{n-2}(2), x\right)$
$=x D\left(O_{n-1}^{\Delta}, x\right)+D\left(O_{n-1}(2), x\right)-x D\left(O_{n-2}(2), x\right)$.
Therefore by parts (i) and (ii) of Lemma 2 and this equation we have
$D\left(O_{n}, x\right)=x\left((1+x) D\left(O_{n-1}+e, x\right)+x D\left(O_{n-2}(2), x\right)\right)+$
$x\left(D\left(O_{n-1}+e, x\right)\right.$
$\left.+D\left(O_{n-1}, x\right)+D\left(O_{n-2}(2), x\right)\right)-x D\left(O_{n-2}(2), x\right)$
$=\left(x^{2}+2 x\right) D\left(O_{n-1}+e, x\right)+x^{2} D\left(O_{n-2}(2), x\right)+$
$x D\left(O_{n-1}, x\right)$.
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